# **ORIGINAL**

DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479 FREDRIC EVENSON. CSB #198059 BRIAN ACREE. CSB #202505 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300 Berkeley, CA 94704 JUN 1 6 2003 (510) 647-1900 WILLIAM VERICK, CSB #140972 5 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER 424 First Street 6 Eureka, CA 95501 (707) 268-8900 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION () 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 12 13 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE CASE NO. 03-418284 FOUNDATION, 14 CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff. 15 16 VS. 17 18 ROMAN, INC.. and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive. 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Mateel v. Roman, Inc., Case No. 03-418284 Consent Judgment

#### 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about March 12, 2003, Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION ("Mateel") filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") against Defendant ROMAN, INC., ("Roman,"). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Roman violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Cal. Health & Safety Code §24249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and the Unfair Competition Act. Cal. Bus, and Professions Code §17200 et seq., by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to products containing lead and/or lead compounds (collectively, "lead"), which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. The Complaint was based upon a 60-Day Notice Letter, dated January 13, 2002, sent by Mateel to Roman, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys serving areas with populations exceeding 750,000. Further, on March 14, 2003. Mateel served a Supplemental Notice Letter covering additional Roman Inc., products and listed chemicals ("Supplemental Notice Letter"). On the 66th day after the service of the Supplemental Notice Letter, all releases provided by this Conent Judgment shall apply to any Proposition 65-related claim that could have been asserted based on any failure to warn referenced in the Supplemental Notice Letter.

1.0. Roman is a business that employs ten or more persons. Roman distributes and/or markets within the State of California holiday lights ("Lights") and artificial trees, wreaths, garlands, topiaries and specialty lights. (Lights, artificial trees, decorative wreaths, garlands and topiaries, may be hereinafter collectively referred to as "Covered Products." "Covered Products" which do not include or incorporates lights may be hereinafter collectively referred to as "Green Goods."). Parts of such Covered Products, including the cords of the Lights have been coated with polyvinyl chloride containing lead. Such Covered Products may also contain methylene chloride. Lead and methylene chloride are known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity and/or cancer pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Products which contain lead and/or methylene chloride are, under specified circumstances, subject to the

3

4

5

6

7

[() 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

27

26

28

Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6.

- For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has 1.1 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Roman as to acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.
- The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement 1.2 of disputed claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the Notice or the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Roman denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability, or liability on the part of Roman.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF-CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS 2.

- 2.0 Except as provided in Paragraphs 2.5 through 2.7 below. Roman shall insure that all Covered Products manufactured or distributed for retail sale in California contain warnings as described in Paragraphs 2.1 through 2.4 below:
- The following Proposition 65 warning language shall be used for Lights not 2.1 containing methylene chloride:

WARNING: Handling the coated electrical wire on this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

2.2 The following Proposition 65 warning language shall be used for Green Goods which incorporate Lights which do not satisfy the requirements of Paragraph 2.6(a):

**WARNING:** The cord for the lights used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. *Wash hands after use.* 

2.3 On Lights which contain methylene chloride and do not satisfy the requirements of Paragraph 2.6(a), the following Proposition 65 warning language shall be used

**WARNING:** This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Please follow important safety instructions found elsewhere on and inside the package. *Wash hands after use.* 

- 2.4 The warning language specified in Paragraphs 2.1 through 2.3 shall be affixed to or printed on the product's packaging or on the product, with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely that prior to purchase the warning is read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the package itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product.
- 2.5 Roman may employ a warning method other than that specified in Paragraph 2.4 above upon obtaining the advanced written consent of the California Attorney General's office and providing a copy of such consent to all parties in accordance with the notice provision set forth in Section 10 of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.6 Settling Defendant shall not be required to provide Proposition 65 warnings in the manner set forth in Paragraphs 2.1 through 2.4 in conjunction with the sale of Covered Products which do not contain methylene chloride if one of the following conditions is met:
  - (a) Covered Products shall meet all of the following criteria:
    - (1) the plastic coating material used for the Covered Product has no

intentionally added lead;

- (2) a representative sample of the product has shown lead content by weight of less than 0.03%, or 300 parts per million ("ppm"), using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of qualification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm.
- (b) The plastic coating material used for the Covered Product satisfies any less restrictive standard that is:
  - (1) agreed to in writing to by the California Attorney General; or
  - involving an actual or potential claim concerning lead in the PVC coating on the holiday lights ("Lights") and artificial trees, decorative wreaths, garlands and topiaries. Roman shall mail Mateel a copy of any request that it submits to the California Attorney General for such alternative reformulation standard, and allow Mateel an opportunity to comment on that request. Mateel or its attorneys shall provide written notification to Roman of their agreement with any other person to such a less restrictive standard within thirty (30) days of entry of any consent judgment which concerns the issue. Mateel or its attorneys shall mail Roman and Roman shall likewise mail Mateel, written notice, within thirty (30) days, of their acquisition of knowledge of any other Judgment which establishes such a less restrictive standard.
- 2.7. If Covered Products containing methylene chloride satisfy the requirements established in Paragraph 2.6, the warning language provided in Paragraph 2.3 may be used if modified to eliminate the words "and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

#### 3. MONETARY RELIEF

3.0. Within 30 days after entry of this Consent Judgment, Roman shall pay \$11,250 to the Ecological Rights Foundation for use in research and public education regarding exposure by

members of the public to chemicals listed by the State of California as causing cancer or other reproductive harm. These payments shall not be used for to pay attorneys' fees to prosecute Proposition 65 enforcement litigation.

- 3.1. Eighteen months after the entry of this Consent Judgment. Roman shall do one of the following:
- (a) Provide counsel for Mateel a declaration stating, under penalty of perjury, that all Covered Products are in compliance with Paragraph 2.6. This declaration may be based upon reliance of information obtained from a supplier of Covered Products provided such reliance is in good faith. A copy of the declaration shall be served upon the California Attorney General.
- (b) Pay \$1.875 to the Office of California Attorney General and \$625 to Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation. Any payment or correspondence directed to Mateel or counsel for Mateel shall be mailed to the attention of William Verick, Esq., Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 First Street, Eureka, California 95501.

### 4. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>

4.0. Within thirty (30) days after this Consent Judgment has been entered by the Court. Roman shall pay the sum of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$13,500) to the "Klamath Environmental Law Center" as reimbursement for costs, investigation, testing, and attorneys' fees incurred by Mateel. The attorneys' fees payment shall be mailed to the attention of William Verick, Esq., Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 First Street, Eureka, California 95501, who shall be responsible for its distribution to Mateel's attorneys.

# 5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT/STIPULATED REMEDIES</u>

5.0 The terms of this Consent Judgment are enforceable by and among parties hereto or, with respect to the injunctive relief provided for herein, by the California Attorney General.

# 6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.0. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and as to those matters included in the Notice, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety code section 25249.7(d) and the general public

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17204, and Roman concerning any violation of Proposition 65 and/or the Unfair Competition Act regarding any claims made or which could have been made in the Notice and or the Complaint, or nay other statutory or common law claim that could have been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, or any other statutory or common law claim that could have been asserted against Roman and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assigns, distributors, retailers, and/or customers ("Covered Parties") for failure to provide clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to lead and/or methylene chloride contained in or otherwise associated with Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed by. for, or on behalf of, Roman. For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, Covered Products include those manufactured, imported, or distributed by Roman, including, but not limited to. those Covered Products supplied by Roman which are sold by a Covered Party under its own private label and/or any other brand name, provided that those Covered Products comply with Paragraphs 2.1-2.7 of this Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now, and in the future concerning compliance by Roman and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assigns with the requirements of Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Act with respect to Covered Products.

# 7. SERVICE ON THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mateel shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the parties so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than fourteen (14) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

## 8. <u>APPLICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

The obligations of this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon all plaintiffs., acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and on behalf of the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17204, and Roman and

the successors or assigns of any of them.

### 9. <u>MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

9.0. This Consent Judgment my be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### 10. NOTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 10.0. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice or report shall be sent by U.S. mail or overnight courier service to:
  - (a) For Mateel:

William Verick, Esq. Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street Eureka, California 95501

(b) For Roman:

Michael A. Orloff, Chief Operating Officer Roman, Inc., 555 Lawrence Avenue Roselle, Illinois 60172

with copies to:

Steven C. Filipowski, Esq. Shaheen, Novolselsky, Staat & Filipowski, P.C. 20 North Wacker Drive – Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60606

10.1 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice in accordance with this Paragraph.

### 11. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

11.0. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

### 12. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

12.0. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the matters covered herein and the enforcement and/or application of this Consent Judgment.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.0. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

### 14. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

14.0. The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### COURT APPROVAL

14.1. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

HECGIVEG. SYLTYUS II.ZSAW,

3/14/2003 11:00 AM FROM: Fax: TO: 17072688901 PAGE: 002 OF 702

707 268 8901 ->-1234567; Page 1

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	DATED:	SHAHEEN, NOVOSELSKY, STAAT & FILIPOWSKI, P.C.
3		
4		By: Steven C. Filipowski
5		Attorneys for Defendant ROMAN, INC.
6		ROMAN, INC.
7	DATED:	By: 2 4. C.
8	DATED:	David H. Williams
9		Attorney for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
10		TOUNDATION
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
12	DATED:	ROMAN, INC.
13		By: Michaela. Selen
14		Michael A. Orloff Chief Operating Officer
15		
16	DATED: 1/28/03	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
17		FOUNDATION
18		By Call Com Walls
19		William Verick Chief Executive Officer
20		2 2222
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	AND DECREED:
23	Dated: June 16,2013.	Faul Id. Glvarado
25	Dated: 16,2003.	
26		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT PAUL M. AND
27		
28		